

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

In re JESSE M., a Person Coming Under
the Juvenile Court Law.

B219597
(Los Angeles County
Super. Ct. No. FJ44533)

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

JESSE M.,

Defendant and Appellant.

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County. Robin Miller Sloan, Judge. Affirmed.

Anne Fragasso, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

On October 10, 2008, during class minor Jesse M. took an iPod from a fellow student at Manual Arts High School. After class, Jesse refused to return the iPod, and hit the other student in the face. Jesse was arrested, cited, and release to custody of his aunt Felicia G.

On December 12, 2008, a section Welfare & Institutions Code section 602¹ petition alleged Jesse had committed one count of second degree robbery in violation of Penal Code section 211.

On March 6, 2009, the Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) removed Jesse from his aunt's home based on a new charge of one count of grand theft in violation of Penal Code section 487(c) for stealing a cell phone. A second section 602 petition was filed.

On August 27, 2009, the juvenile court sustained the first section 602 petition. After Jesse admitted the allegations of the second petition, the court sustained it, set the maximum period of confinement at an aggregate of five years eight months, with 65 days credit, and placed Jesse on probation.

We appointed counsel to represent the minor on appeal. After examination of the record, counsel filed an opening brief raising no issues and asking this court to independently review the record. On December 3, 2009, we advised the minor he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues he wished us to consider. To date, we have received no response.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that the minor's counsel has fully complied with her responsibilities, and no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 109–110; *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441; *In re Kevin S.* (2003) 113 Cal.App.4th 97, 99.)

¹ All statutory references herein are to the Welfare & Institutions Code unless otherwise noted.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.
NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

JOHNSON, J.

We concur:

MALLANO, P. J.

CHANEY, J.